

# Identifiers in the Web: URIs

---

- URL: locations
  - *New York Public Library, second floor, third aisle, second shelf, third book from left*
- URN: location-independent names
  - **QP:475.L95; ISBN:0-19-854529-0**
- URC: descriptions
  - *genre: book, title: The Ecology of Vision; author: J.N.Lythgoe; Date: 1979; Publisher: Clarendon Press, Oxford*

# URL Requirements

---

*An object that describes the location of a resource*

- Global scope
- parsable
- transportable in many contexts
- extensible
- not loaded with other information

# Some URL schemes

---

- `http://host.dom/path`
- `ftp://host.dom/path`
- `gopher://host.dom/selector`
- `news:group.name`
- `news:article-id`
- `mailto:email-name@host.dom`
- `file:///C:/dos/path`
- `telnet://host.dom`

# Relative URLs

---

- “base” + “relative URL”  
=> “absolute URL”

base = **http://host.dom/a/b/c/d.e**

relative = **../../frob.htm**

=> **http://host.dom/a/frob.htm**

- Defines what “base” is for various contexts
- Not defined in terms of scheme

# Uniform Resource Names (URN)

---

- name independent of location; allows for replication, migration
- separate problems of naming authority and name assignment  
resolution mechanism: finding information about the thing named
  - location(s), metadata

# Some unsolved problems with URIs

---

- things go away
  - Material behind URLs disappears
- **pimples.com**
  - vanity domains for billboard use
- Apple Computer and Apple Music
  - conflicts over short names
- **urn:hdl:MTV/I\_quit**
  - how does authority migrate?
- **http://www.métro.paris.fr/métro**
  - Non-ASCII names

# URLs vs URNs

---

- Some URLs aren't really "locators"
  - data: , mid: , news:
- Does the URL syntax constrain the URN syntax?
- Does the URL syntax constrain all URIs?
- Will URNs actually work?

# Human Friendly Names

---

- Attempts to create new systems of “real names” or “go words” or ...
- Used in browser instead of URL
- Companies or individuals register names
- No match will invoke a search
- Name space administrator responsible for uniqueness



# The top-level domain issue

---

- vanity domains in .com
- .au? .com?
- Hierarchy is lost
- Trademark disputes
- attempt to add new ones politically sensitive
  - monopoly
  - fairness

# Standards for MetaData and the Web

---

- Cataloging (Dublin Core)
- Ratings (PICs)
- Digital Signatures (proving authenticity)
- Copyright (who owns this material?)

# MetaData standards

---

- Dublin Core and RDF
- Ratings: PICS
- Signatures, copyright and digital property rights

# Dublin Core

---

- How to “Catalog” the web?
- 15 common resource description elements
  - title, creator, subject, description, publisher, contributor, date, type, format, identifier, source, language, relation, coverage, rights
- Expression in Resource Definition Format
- Authored using WebDAV

# Platform for Internet Content Selection

---

- Self-rating:

- content providers voluntarily label

- Third-party rating:

- multiple, independent labeling services
  - Services may devise their own labeling systems
  - same content may receive different labels from different services.

- Ease-of-use:

- for parents and teachers ; labels from multiple sources



# Signatures, copyright

---

- Another kind of metadata
- Another kind of ‘rating’
- object-based security requires key management